

Chapter 4

Summary

Convex Optimization for Computer Vision
SS 2017

Michael Moeller
Visual Scene Analysis
Department of Computer Science
and Electrical Engineering
University of Siegen

Summary

Michael Moeller

Visual
Scene
Analysis

Convex Fundamentals

Convergence of
fixed-point iterations

Gradient based
methods

Duality

Primal-dual methods

Summary Lecture

Convex Fundamentals

Convergence of
fixed-point iterations

Gradient based
methods

Duality

Primal-dual methods

Convexity of $E : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$: For all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $\theta \in [0, 1]$ it holds that

$$E(\theta u + (1 - \theta)v) \leq \theta E(u) + (1 - \theta)E(v) \quad (\text{c})$$

We call E **strictly convex**, if the inequality (c) is strict for all $\theta \in]0, 1[$, and $v \neq u$.

We call E **m-strongly convex** if $G(u) = E(u) - \frac{m}{2}\|u\|^2$ is a convex function.

The **domain** of E is

$$\text{dom}(E) := \{u \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid E(u) < \infty\}.$$

We call E **proper** if $\text{dom}(E) \neq \emptyset$.

The **epigraph** of E is defined as

$$\text{epi}(E) := \{(u, \alpha) \mid E(u) \leq \alpha\}.$$

A function is called **closed** if its epigraph is a closed set.

If E is closed and there exists a nonempty and bounded sublevelset

$$\{u \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid E(u) \leq \alpha\},$$

then **E has a minimizer**.

The subdifferential

The **subdifferential** of a convex function E is

$$\partial E(u) = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid E(v) - E(u) - \langle p, v - u \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$$

If E is differentiable at u then

$$\partial E(u) = \{\nabla E(u)\}.$$

For convex functions, any local minimizer is a global minimizer.

The **optimality condition** is

$$\hat{u} \in \arg \min_u E(u) \Leftrightarrow 0 \in \partial E(\hat{u})$$

If E has a minimizer and is strictly convex, then the minimizer of E is unique.

The subdifferential

The **relative interior** of a convex set M is defined as

$$\text{ri}(M) := \{x \in M \mid \forall y \in M, \exists \lambda > 1, \text{ s.t. } \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in M\}.$$

If E is a proper convex function and $u \in \text{ri}(\text{dom}(E))$, then $\partial E(u)$ is **non-empty**.

Sum rule – Let E_1, E_2 be convex functions such that $\text{ri}(\text{dom}(E_1)) \cap \text{ri}(\text{dom}(E_2)) \neq \emptyset$, then it holds that

$$\partial(E_1 + E_2)(u) = \{p_1 + p_2 \mid p_1 \in \partial E_1(u), p_2 \in \partial E_2(u)\}.$$

Chain rule – If $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $E : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is convex, and $\text{ri}(\text{dom}(E)) \cap \text{range}(A) \neq \emptyset$, then it holds that

$$\partial(E \circ A)(u) = \{A^T p \mid p \in \partial E(Au)\}.$$

Question: When does the **fixed-point iteration**

$$u^{k+1} = G(u^k) \quad (\text{fp})$$

converge?

We call $G : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ a **contraction** if it is Lipschitz-continuous with constant $L < 1$, i.e. if there exists a $L < 1$ such that

$$\|G(u) - G(v)\|_2 \leq L\|u - v\|_2$$

holds for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

If G is a contraction, it has a **unique fixed-point** \hat{u} and (fp) **converges linearly** to \hat{u} .

Averaged operators

An operator $H : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called **non-expansive** if it is Lipschitz-continuous with constant 1, i.e. if

$$\|H(u) - H(v)\|_2 \leq \|u - v\|_2$$

holds for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

An operator $G : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called **averaged** if there exists a non-expansive mapping $H : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and a constant $\alpha \in]0, 1[$ such that

$$G = \alpha I + (1 - \alpha)H.$$

If the operator $G : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is averaged and has a fixed-point, then the iteration

$$u^{k+1} = G(u^k)$$

converges to a fixed point of G for any starting point $u^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

An operator $G : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called **firmly nonexpansive**, if for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ it holds that

$$\|G(u) - G(v)\|_2^2 \leq \langle G(u) - G(v), u - v \rangle.$$

An operator $G : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is **firmly nonexpansive** if and only if G is **averaged with** $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$.

Compositions of averaged operators are averaged.

$$u^{k+1} = u^k - \tau \nabla E(u^k)$$

is called the **gradient descent iteration**.

An energy $E : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called **L-smooth** if E is differentiable and **∇E is L-Lipschitz continuous**.

Baillon-Haddad Theorem: A continuously differentiable convex function $E : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is L-smooth if and only if $\frac{1}{L} \nabla E$ is firmly nonexpansive.

If E is L-smooth then $\frac{1}{L} \nabla E = \frac{1}{2}(I + T)$ for some non-expansive operator T . It follows that

$$G(u) = u - \tau L \frac{1}{L} \nabla E(u) = \left(1 - \frac{L\tau}{2}\right) I + \frac{L\tau}{2}(-T)$$

is **averaged for $\tau \in]0, 2/L[$** .

$$u^{k+1} = \text{proj}_C(u^k - \tau \nabla E(u^k))$$

is called the **gradient projection iteration** for a nonempty closed convex set C .

The projection onto a nonempty closed convex set is **firmly nonexpansive** and therefore **averaged with $\alpha = 1/2$** .

Since the composition of averaged operators is averaged, we conclude: If E is L -smooth, $\tau \in]0, 2/L[$, and **there exists a minimizer** of E over the set C , then the gradient projection algorithm **converges**.

The mapping $\text{prox}_E : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined as

$$\text{prox}_E(v) := \underset{u \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\operatorname{argmin}} E(u) + \frac{1}{2} \|u - v\|^2$$

for a closed, proper, convex function $E : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is called the **proximal operator** or **proximal mapping** of E .

The proximal operator prox_E for a closed, proper, convex function E is **firmly nonexpansive** and therefore **averaged with $\alpha = 1/2$** .

Proximal gradient

The iteration

$$u^{k+1} = \text{prox}_{\tau F}(u^k - \tau \nabla G(u^k))$$

is called the **proximal gradient method**.

Let $E(u) = F(u) + G(u)$ **have a minimizer**, let G be L -smooth, and let $\tau \in]0, 2/L[$. Then the proximal gradient method **converges** to a minimizer of E .

The **convergence rates** of the gradient descent, gradient projection, and proximal gradient method are **suboptimal**. They can be **accelerated** by using certain extrapolation schemes.

Summary

Michael Moeller

Visual
Scene
Analysis

Convex Fundamentals

Convergence of
fixed-point iterations

Gradient based
methods

Duality

Primal-dual methods

Accelerated proximal gradient

Pick some starting point $v^0 = u^0$, set $t_0 = 1$, and iterate

① Compute

$$u^{k+1} = \text{prox}_{\frac{1}{L}G} \left(v^k - \frac{1}{L} \nabla F(v^k) \right)$$

② Determine

$$t_{k+1} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4t_k^2}}{2},$$

③ Compute the extrapolation of u^{k+1} via

$$v^{k+1} = u^{k+1} + \frac{t_k - 1}{t_{k+1}} (u^{k+1} - u^k)$$

Summary

Michael Moeller

Visual
Scene
Analysis

Convex Fundamentals

Convergence of
fixed-point iterations

Gradient based
methods

Duality

Primal-dual methods

Accelerated gradient projection with line search

Pick $v^0 = u^0$, set $t_0 = 1$, choose $\beta < 1$, $\tau_0 > 0$, and define $Q_\tau(u, v) = F(v) + \langle u - v, \nabla F(v) \rangle + \frac{1}{2\tau} \|u - v\|^2 + G(u)$.

① Find a suitable step size $\tau_k \leq \tau_{k-1}$ via

$$\tau_k = \tau_{k-1}, \quad u^{k+1} = \text{prox}_{\tau_k G} \left(v^k - \tau_k \nabla F(v^k) \right)$$

while $E(u^{k+1}) > Q_\tau(u^{k+1}, v^k)$

$$\tau_k \leftarrow \beta \tau_k, \quad u^{k+1} \leftarrow \text{prox}_{\tau_k G} \left(v^k - \tau_k \nabla F(v^k) \right)$$

end

② Determine

$$t_{k+1} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4t_k^2}}{2},$$

③ Compute the extrapolation of u^{k+1} via

$$v^{k+1} = u^{k+1} + \frac{t_k - 1}{t_{k+1}} (u^{k+1} - u^k)$$

Convex conjugation

The **convex conjugate** of a proper function $E : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is

$$E^*(p) = \sup_u \langle u, p \rangle - E(u).$$

It is always convex and closed.

The **Fenchel-Young inequality** states that

$$E(u) + E^*(p) \geq \langle u, p \rangle,$$

and that equality holds if and only if $p \in \partial E(u)$.

For a proper, closed convex function E it holds that **E coincides with its biconjugate**,

$$E = E^{**}.$$

For a proper, closed convex function E it holds that

$$p \in \partial E(u) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad u \in \partial E^*(p).$$

Let $E(u) = G(u) + F(Ku)$ have a minimizer, and let G and F be closed and convex. Let there exist a $u \in \text{ri}(\text{dom}(G))$ such that $Ku \in \text{ri}(\text{dom}(F))$. Then we can reformulate

$$\min_u \quad G(u) + F(Ku) \quad \text{Primal}$$

$$= \min_u \max_q \quad G(u) + \langle q, Ku \rangle - F^*(q) \quad \text{Saddle point}$$

$$= \max_q \min_u \quad G(u) + \langle q, Ku \rangle - F^*(q)$$

$$= \max_q \quad -G^*(-K^*q) - F^*(q) \quad \text{Dual}$$

We are therefore looking for a **saddle point** (u, q) such that

$$-K^T q \in \partial G(u), \quad Ku \in \partial F^*(q).$$

The primal-dual point of view motivates the definition of an iterative method to find

$$-K^T q \in \partial G(u), \quad Ku \in \partial F^*(q).$$

The **primal-dual hybrid gradient (PDHG)** method computes

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \partial G & K^T \\ -K & \partial F^* \end{pmatrix}}_{=:T} \begin{pmatrix} u^{k+1} \\ p^{k+1} \end{pmatrix} + \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\tau} I & -K^T \\ -K & \frac{1}{\sigma} I \end{pmatrix}}_{=:M} \begin{pmatrix} u^{k+1} - u^k \\ p^{k+1} - p^k \end{pmatrix}.$$

or in the **algorithmic-friendly form** of (PDHG)

$$\begin{aligned} p^{k+1} &= \text{prox}_{\sigma F^*}(p^k + \sigma K(2u^k - u^{k-1})), \\ u^{k+1} &= \text{prox}_{\tau G}(u^k - \tau K^* p^{k+1}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{PDHG})$$

A set-valued operator T is called **monotone** if

$$\langle p - q, u - v \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall u, v, p \in T(u), q \in T(v).$$

The **resolvent** $(I + T)^{-1}$ of a maximally monotone operator is firmly non-expansive, i.e. **averaged with** $\alpha = 1/2$.

Let T be maximally monotone and let there exist a z such that $0 \in T(z)$. Then the **proximal point algorithm**

$$0 \in T(z^{k+1}) + z^{k+1} - z^k$$

converges to a \tilde{z} with $0 \in T(\tilde{z})$.

Convergence of PDHG

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \partial G & K^T \\ -K & \partial F^* \end{pmatrix}}_{=:T} \begin{pmatrix} u^{k+1} \\ p^{k+1} \end{pmatrix} + \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\tau} I & -K^T \\ -K & \frac{1}{\sigma} I \end{pmatrix}}_{=:M} \begin{pmatrix} u^{k+1} - u^k \\ p^{k+1} - p^k \end{pmatrix}.$$

The operator T is **maximally monotone**. The matrix M is **positive definite** for $\tau\sigma < \frac{1}{\|K\|_{S^\infty}^2}$.

Let $M = M^{1/2} M^{1/2}$. Then $M^{-1/2} T M^{-1/2}$ is still maximally monotone, and the **PDHG algorithm becomes a proximal point algorithm** in the new variable $z = M^{1/2}(u; p)$.

If the saddle-point problem has a solution and $\tau\sigma < \frac{1}{\|K\|_{S^\infty}^2}$, then **PDHG converges**!

There are **variants of PDHG** for one of the functions F^* or G being **strongly convex**, or both of them being convex. These variants **converge faster**.

Considering

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{pmatrix} \partial G & K^T \\ -K & \partial F^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u^{k+1} \\ p^{k+1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\tau} I & -K^T \\ -K & \frac{1}{\sigma} I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u^{k+1} - u^k \\ p^{k+1} - p^k \end{pmatrix}.$$

we define the **residuals**

$$r_p^{k+1} = \frac{1}{\sigma}(p^{k+1} - p^k) - K(u^{k+1} - u^k)$$

$$r_d^{k+1} = \frac{1}{\tau}(u^{k+1} - u^k) - K^T(p^{k+1} - p^k)$$

Idea: stop the PDHG algorithm if

$$\|r_p^{k+1}\| \leq \sqrt{m} \epsilon^{abs} + \|z^{k+1}\| \cdot \epsilon^{rel},$$

$$\|r_d^{k+1}\| \leq \sqrt{n} \epsilon^{abs} + \|v^{k+1}\| \cdot \epsilon^{rel}.$$

for $v^{k+1} \in \partial G(u^{k+1})$, $z^{k+1} \in \partial F^*(p^{k+1})$, $u^{k+1} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $p^{k+1} \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Consider the (PDHG) algorithm

$$\begin{aligned}u^{k+1} &= \text{prox}_{\tau G}(u^k - \tau K^* p^k), \\p^{k+1} &= \text{prox}_{\sigma F^*}(p^k + \sigma K(2u^{k+1} - u^k)),\end{aligned}\tag{PDHG}$$

for two cases

- τ very large, σ very small
→ Almost $-K^T p^{k+1} \in \partial G(u^{k+1})$, i.e. $\|r_d\|$ is small.
- τ very small, σ very large
→ Almost $Ku^{k+1} \in \partial F^*(p^{k+1})$, i.e. $\|r_p\|$ is small.

Idea:

- If $\|r_p\| \ll \|r_d\|$, increase τ and decrease σ
- If $\|r_p\| \gg \|r_d\|$, decrease τ and increase σ

General methods: Make sure the updates decouple, are easy, and M is positive (semi-)definite, e.g.

- **PDHG, overrelaxation on primal**

$$0 \in \begin{bmatrix} \partial G & K^T \\ -K & \partial F^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u^{k+1} \\ p^{k+1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\tau} I & -K^T \\ -K & \frac{1}{\sigma} I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u^{k+1} - u^k \\ p^{k+1} - p^k \end{bmatrix}.$$

- **PDHG, overrelaxation on dual**

$$0 \in \begin{bmatrix} \partial G & K^T \\ -K & \partial F^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u^{k+1} \\ p^{k+1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\tau} I & K^T \\ K & \frac{1}{\sigma} I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u^{k+1} - u^k \\ p^{k+1} - p^k \end{bmatrix}.$$

- **Primal ADMM**

$$0 \in \begin{bmatrix} \partial G & K^T \\ -K & \partial F^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u^{k+1} \\ p^{k+1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \lambda K^T K & K^T \\ K & \frac{1}{\lambda} I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u^{k+1} - u^k \\ p^{k+1} - p^k \end{bmatrix}.$$

- **Corresponding dual ADMM**

$$0 \in \begin{bmatrix} \partial G & K^T \\ -K & \partial F^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u^{k+1} \\ p^{k+1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda} I & -K^T \\ -K & \lambda K K^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u^{k+1} - u^k \\ p^{k+1} - p^k \end{bmatrix}.$$

Questions to discuss

- Why does the following hold

$$\|g\|_{2,1} = \sum_i \|g_i\| = \sum_i \max_{|q_i| \leq 1} \langle q_i, g_i \rangle$$

Can you repeat the notation of $\|Du\|_{2,1}$?

- PDHG Stopping Criteria
- PDHG Adaptive Stepsize